

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	Case No. 1:13-cr-24
v.	)	
	)	COLLIER / LEE
JERMICHAEL DEWAIN WILLIAMS	)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), I conducted a plea hearing in this case on October 23, 2013. At the hearing, defendant moved to withdraw his not guilty plea to Count Two of the two-count indictment and entered a plea of guilty to the lesser included offense of the charge in Count Two, that is of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence that may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is, Hobbs Act robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find the defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; the plea is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Therefore, I **RECOMMEND** defendant's motion to withdraw his not guilty plea to Count Two be granted, his plea of guilty to the lesser included offense of the charge in Count Two, that is of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence that may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is, Hobbs Act robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

§ 924(c)(1)(A) be accepted, the Court adjudicate defendant guilty of the lesser included offense of the charge in Count Two, that is of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence that may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, that is, Hobbs Act robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A), and a decision on whether to accept the plea agreement be deferred until sentencing. I further **RECOMMEND** defendant remain in custody until sentencing in this matter. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

s/ Susan K. Lee

SUSAN K. LEE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

#### NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to *de novo* review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. Failure to file objections within ten days constitutes a waiver of any further right to challenge the plea of guilty in this matter. See 28 U.S.C. §636(b).